arXiv:0706.0762v1 [hep-th] 6 Jun 2007

NRCPS-HE-57-07
June, 2007

Propagating Modes of Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Field of Second Rank

Spyros Konitopoulos and *George Savvidy*

Institute of Nuclear Physics,
Demokritos National Research Center
Agia Paraskevi, GR-15310 Athens, Greece

Abstract

In the recently proposed extension of the YM theory, non-Abelian tensor gauge field of the second rank is represented by a general tensor whose symmetric part describes the propagation of charged gauge boson of helicity two and its antisymmetric part - the helicity zero charged gauge boson. On the non-interacting level these polarizations are similar to the polarizations of the graviton and of the Abelian antisymmetric B field, but the interaction of these gauge bosons carrying non-commutative internal charges cannot be directly identified with the interaction of gravitons or B field. Our intention here is to illustrate this result from different perspectives which would include Bianchi identity for the corresponding field strength tensor and the analysis of the second-order partial differential equation which describes in this theory the propagation of non-Abelian tensor gauge field of the second rank. Analyzing the interaction between two tensor currents caused by the exchange of these tensor gauge bosons we shall demonstrate that the residue at the pole is the sum of three terms each of which describes positive norm polarizations of helicities two and zero bosons.

1 *Introduction*

An infinite tower of massive particles of high spin naturally appears in the spectrum of different string field theories [1, 2, 3, 5, 4]. From the point of view of quantum field theory, string field theories seem to contain an infinite number of nonrenormalizable interactions between these fields, which are represented in the string action by nonlocal cubic interaction terms containing an exponential of a quadratic form in the momenta [6, 7].

It is generally expected that in the tensionless limit or, what is equivalent, at high energy scattering [8, 9, 10] the string spectrum becomes effectively massless and it is of great importance to find out the corresponding action and its genuine symmetries [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. On the quantum field theory language this should be a field theory with infinite many massless fields.

In quantum field theory the Lagrangian formulation of *free* massless Abelian tensor gauge fields has been constructed in [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. The problem of introducing *interactions* appears to be much more complex and there has been important progress in defining self-interaction of higher-spin fields in the light-cone formalism and in the covariant formulation of the theories [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41].

In this respect it is appealing to extend the gauge principle so that it will define the interaction of gauge fields which carry not only non-commutative internal charges, but also arbitrary spins. For that purpose it seems reasonable to define extended non-Abelian gauge transformations acting on *charged tensor gauge fields* and the corresponding field strength tensors, which will enable the construction of a gauge invariant Lagrangian quadratic in field strength tensors [43], as it is the case in the Yang-Mills theory [42]. The resulting gauge invariant Lagrangian will contain kinetic terms for higher-spin fields and will uniquely define their cubic and quartic interaction terms [43, 44, 45].

Here we shall follow the construction which is based on this direct extension of non-Abelian gauge transformations [43, 44, 45, 46, 47]. Recall that non-Abelian gauge fields are defined as rank-(s+1) tensor gauge fields $A^a_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ [*] and that one can construct infinite series of forms $\mathcal{L}_s$ $(s = 1, 2, ..)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{'}_s$ $(s = 2, 3, ..)$ which are invariant with respect to the gauge transformations. These forms are quadratic in the field strength tensors $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$.

---

[*] Tensor gauge fields $A^a_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x)$, $s = 0, 1, 2, ...$ are totally symmetric with respect to the indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_s$. *A priori* the tensor fields have no symmetries with respect to the first index μ. In particular we have $A^a_{\mu\lambda} \neq A^a_{\lambda\mu}$ and $A^a_{\mu\lambda\rho} = A^a_{\mu\rho\lambda} \neq A^a_{\lambda\mu\rho}$. The adjoint group index $a = 1, ..., N^2 - 1$ for the $SU(N)$ gauge group.

The construction of invariant forms is based on the fact that field strength tensors $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ transform homogeneously with respect to the gauge transformations. Therefore the gauge invariant Lagrangian describing dynamical tensor gauge bosons of all ranks has the form [43, 44, 45]

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \mathcal{L}_1 + g_2\mathcal{L}_2 + g_2^{'}\mathcal{L}_2^{'} + ... \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1$ is the Yang-Mills Lagrangian. This Lagrangian contains *kinetic terms* of the tensor gauge fields $A^a_\mu, A^a_{\mu\lambda}, ..$ and nonlinear terms which describe their interactions, *cubic and quartic interactions* between lower- and higher-rank tensor gauge fields. For the lower-rank tensor gauge fields the Lagrangian has the following form [43, 44, 45]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_1 &= -\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu},\\
\mathcal{L}_2 &= -\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda},\\
\mathcal{L}_2^{'} &= +\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu,\nu}G^a_{\mu\lambda,\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where generalized field strength tensors are:

$$\begin{aligned}
G^a_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + g f^{abc}\; A^b_\mu\; A^c_\nu,\\
G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} &= \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda} + g f^{abc}(\; A^b_\mu\; A^c_{\nu\lambda} + A^b_{\mu\lambda}\; A^c_\nu\;),\\
G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} &= \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda\rho} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda\rho} + g f^{abc}(\; A^b_\mu\; A^c_{\nu\lambda\rho} + A^b_{\mu\lambda}\; A^c_{\nu\rho} + A^b_{\mu\rho}\; A^c_{\nu\lambda} + A^b_{\mu\lambda\rho}\; A^c_\nu\;).
\end{aligned}$$

In the present paper we shall focus our attention on the lower-rank tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\lambda}$, which in this theory is a general nonsymmetric tensor with 4x4=16 space-time components ($A^a_{\mu\lambda} \neq A^a_{\lambda\mu}$ and it would have $d \times d = d^2$ components in the d-dimensional space-time)†. It has been found that if $g_2^{'} = g_2$, then the quadratic part of the Lagrangian (1.2), which defines the kinetic energy of the field $A^a_{\mu\lambda}$, has the following form [43, 44, 45]:

$$\mathcal{K} = -\frac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} + \frac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}F^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \frac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu\nu,\nu}F^a_{\mu\lambda,\lambda}, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda}, \tag{1.4}$$

and describes the propagation of *helicity-two and helicity-zero* $\lambda = \pm 2, 0$ *charged gauge bosons*. This means that within the 16 fields of nonsymmetric tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\lambda}$ only

---

†One should multiply these numbers by the dimension of the gauge group, $N^2 - 1$ in the case of $SU(N)$.

three positive norm polarizations are propagating and that the rest of them are pure gauge fields. On the non-interacting level, when we consider only the kinetic term (1.3) of the full Lagrangian (1.1), these polarizations are similar to the polarizations of the graviton and of the Abelian antisymmetric B field [48, 49, 50, 51, 53], but the interaction of these gauge bosons carrying non-commutative internal charges is uniquely defined by the full Lagrangian (1.1) and cannot be directly identified with the interactions of gravitons or B field [43, 44, 45].

Our intention here is to illustrate this result from different perspectives which would include Bianchi identity for the field strength tensor $F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$ and the direct analysis of the second-order partial differential equation which describes in this theory the propagation of the free tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\lambda}$. This equation follows from the variation of the Lagrangian (1.3) with respect to $A^a_{\nu\lambda}$ [43, 44, 45]. With this in mind we shall present a general method for counting the propagating modes in gauge field theories and apply it to the tensor gauge field theory in order to illustrate the fact that the second-rank tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\lambda}$ describes three polarizations $\lambda = \pm 2, 0$ of massless charged tensor gauge bosons.

We shall see that the gauge invariance, together with the geometrical properties of the field strength tensor, poses strong restrictions on the divergences of the currents. Analyzing the interaction between two tensor currents caused by the exchange of these tensor gauge bosons we shall demonstrate that the residue at the pole is the sum of three terms each of which describes positive norm polarizations of helicities $\lambda = \pm 2, 0$.

2 *Gauge Symmetries and Current Conservation*

Pure kinetic term of the Lagrangian (1.2), (1.3), which describes the propagation of the tensor gauge field ($A^a_{\mu\lambda} \neq A^a_{\lambda\mu}$), has the following form [43, 44, 45]:

$$\mathcal{K} = -\frac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} + \frac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}F^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \frac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu\nu,\nu}F^a_{\mu\lambda,\lambda} \tag{2.5}$$

and is invariant with respect to a pair of *complementary* gauge transformations δ and $\tilde{\delta}$. When the coupling constant g is taken to vanish, these gauge transformations reduce to the following form [45]:

$$\delta A^a_{\mu\lambda} = \partial_\mu \xi^a_\lambda \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\tilde{\delta} A^a_{\mu\lambda} = \partial_\lambda \eta^a_\mu . \tag{2.7}$$

The field strength tensor $F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$ (1.4) transforms with respect to these transformations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_\xi F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = 0, \\ &\tilde{\delta}_\eta F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = \partial_\lambda(\partial_\mu \eta^a_\nu - \partial_\nu \eta^a_\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore the kinetic term $\mathcal{K}$ is obviously invariant with respect to the first group of gauge transformations δ and we have $\delta\mathcal{K} = 0$, but it is less trivial to see that it is also invariant with respect to the complementary gauge transformations $\tilde{\delta}$. The $\tilde{\delta}$ transformation of $\mathcal{K}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}\mathcal{K} = &-\frac{1}{2}F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}\partial_\lambda(\partial_\mu\eta^a_\nu - \partial_\nu\eta^a_\mu) + \frac{1}{2}F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}\partial_\nu(\partial_\mu\eta^a_\lambda - \partial_\lambda\eta^a_\mu) + \frac{1}{2}F^a_{\mu\nu,\nu}\partial_\lambda(\partial_\mu\eta^a_\lambda - \partial_\lambda\eta^a_\mu) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}\partial_\lambda\partial_\nu\eta^a_\mu + \frac{1}{2}F^a_{\mu\nu,\nu}\partial_\lambda(\partial_\mu\eta^a_\lambda - \partial_\lambda\eta^a_\mu), \end{aligned}$$

where we combined the first, the second and the forth terms and used the fact that the third term is identically equal to zero. Just from the symmetry properties of the field strength tensor it is not obvious to see why the rest of the terms are equal to zero. Therefore we shall use the explicit form of the field strength tensor $F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$, which gives

$$\tilde{\delta}\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda})\partial_\lambda\partial_\nu\eta^a_\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\nu} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\nu})\partial_\lambda(\partial_\mu\eta^a_\lambda - \partial_\lambda\eta^a_\mu).$$

From the corresponding action $S_0 = \int \mathcal{K}dx$ after partial differentiation we shall get that the term $\partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\nu} \cdot \partial_\lambda(\partial_\mu\eta^a_\lambda - \partial_\lambda\eta^a_\mu)$ gives a zero contribution and the rest of the terms cancel each other $\int(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda}) \cdot \partial_\lambda\partial_\nu\eta^a_\mu - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\nu} \cdot \partial_\lambda(\partial_\mu\eta^a_\lambda - \partial_\lambda\eta^a_\mu))dx = 0$. This demonstrates the invariance of the action with respect to the δ and $\tilde{\delta}$ transformations defined by (2.6) and (2.7) when $g_2 = g^{'}_2$ in (1.1) [43].

Let us now consider the interaction of the tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\lambda}$ with the current $J^a_{\mu\nu}$, as it is defined by the Lagrangian (1.1) ($J^a_{\mu\nu} \neq J^a_{\nu\mu}$). In order to see what type of restrictions are imposed on the current by the gauge symmetries of the action we shall consider the equation of motion which follows from the Lagrangian (1.1) (see [43, 44, 45] for details):

$$\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \partial_\mu F^a_{\lambda\nu,\mu} + \partial_\lambda F^a_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\rho,\rho}) = J^a_{\nu\lambda}. \tag{2.9}$$

This equation contains two terms $\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \partial_\mu F^a_{\lambda\nu,\mu} + \partial_\lambda F^a_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\rho,\rho})$, which arise from $\mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}^{'}_2$ respectively. The derivatives over ∂_ν of both terms in the equation are equal to zero separately. Indeed, due to the antisymmetric properties of the field strength tensor $F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$ under exchange of μ and ν we have

$$\partial_\nu \partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = 0,$$

as well as

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu \{\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \partial_\mu F^a_{\lambda\nu,\mu} + \partial_\lambda F^a_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\rho,\rho}\} = 0.$$

Thus it follows from (2.9) that

$$\partial_\nu J^a_{\nu\lambda} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Hence, the current $J^a_{\nu\lambda}$ must be divergenceless over its first index. Now let us take derivative over ∂_λ of the left-hand side of the equation (2.9), that is, the derivative over the second index of the nonsymmetric current $J^a_{\nu\lambda}$. We see that

$$\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} \neq 0,$$

as well as

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda \{\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \partial_\mu F^a_{\lambda\nu,\mu} + \partial_\lambda F^a_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\rho,\rho}\} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda \{\partial_\mu F^a_{\lambda\nu,\mu} + \partial_\lambda F^a_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\rho,\rho}\} \neq 0.$$

Thus, is not obvious to see the conservation of the nonsymmetric current $J^a_{\nu\lambda}$ with respect to its second index λ alone from the antisymmetric properties of the field strength tensor. Therefore we have to use the explicit form of the field strength tensor $F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda}$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda \{\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \partial_\mu F^a_{\lambda\nu,\mu} + \partial_\lambda F^a_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\rho,\rho}\} = \\
&= \partial_\lambda \partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu F^a_{\lambda\nu,\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 F^a_{\mu\nu,\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu \partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\rho,\rho} = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu (\partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda}) - \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 (\partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\mu} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\mu}) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu \partial_\mu (\partial_\mu A^a_{\rho\rho} - \partial_\rho A^a_{\mu\rho}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the sum of the two nonzero expressions presented above are equal to zero, thus [43, 44, 45]

$$\partial_\lambda J^a_{\nu\lambda} = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

The natural question which arises here is connected with the fact that in order to see these cancellations one should use the explicit form of the field strength tensor $F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$, and it remains a mystery, why this takes place only when the relative coefficient between the invariant forms $\mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_2^{'}$ is equal to one ($g_2 = g_2^{'}$ in (1.1)) [43]. Our main concern therefore is to understand the general reason for these cancellations without referring to the explicit form of the field strength tensor. As we shall see, the deep reason for this cancellations lies is the Bianchi identity (5.52), (5.53) for the field strength tensor

$$\partial_\mu F_{\nu\lambda,\rho} + \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\mu,\rho} + \partial_\lambda F_{\mu\nu,\rho} = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

which we shall derive in the Appendix A. Indeed, we can evaluate the derivative of the l.h.s of the equation (2.9) to the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\lambda \{ \partial_\mu F_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \partial_\mu F^a_{\lambda\nu,\mu} + \partial_\lambda F^a_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu F^a_{\mu\rho,\rho})\} = \\ &\qquad\qquad = -\frac{1}{2}\{\partial^2 F_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F_{\mu\rho,\rho} + \partial_\mu \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\lambda,\mu}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where we have used only the antisymmetric property of $F_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$ to cancel the second term and to combine the first one with the third one of the l.h.s of the above equation. Now, we shall take advantage of the Bianchi identity. Taking the derivative of the Bianchi identity (2.12) over ∂_μ and setting $\nu = \rho$ we get

$$\partial^2 F_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F_{\mu\rho,\rho} + \partial_\mu \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\lambda,\mu} \equiv 0 \tag{2.14}$$

and can clearly see that the last expression in (2.13) coincides with the left-hand side of this contracted Bianchi identity and is therefore equal to zero. Thus (2.11) holds - $\partial_\lambda J^a_{\nu\lambda} = 0$.

In other words, if one repeats these calculations for arbitrary coefficients g_2 and $g_2^{'}$ in the Lagrangian (1.1) $g_2 \mathcal{L}_2 + g_2^{'} \mathcal{L}_2^{'}$, then the last expression in parenthesis (2.13) will take the form

$$\partial^2 F_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F_{\mu\rho,\rho} + (2\ \frac{g_2}{g_2^{'}} - 1)\partial_\mu \partial_\lambda F_{\nu\lambda,\mu}.$$

Comparing it with Bianchi identity (2.14) one can see that it is equal to zero only if $g_2 = g_2^{'}$ and therefore only in that case (2.11) holds.

It seems that this situation is similar to that in gravity, where both tensors $R_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}R$ have correct transformation properties and therefore can be present in the equation of motion [52]

$$R_{\mu\nu} - c\ g_{\mu\nu} R = T_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.15}$$

with unknown coefficient c, but the Bianci identity $R^\mu_{\nu;\mu} - (1/2)R_{;\nu} = 0$ tells that the coefficient c should be taken equal to 1/2 [53].

The total conservation of nonsymmetric ($J^a_{\mu\nu} \neq J^a_{\nu\mu}$) current (2.10) and (2.11)

$$\partial_\nu J^a_{\nu\lambda} = 0, \qquad \partial_\lambda J^a_{\nu\lambda} = 0$$

is adequate to cast the theory unitary at free level. In the next section we shall present a general method for counting the propagating modes in gauge field theories and shall see how the gauge invariance guarantees the elimination of all negative-norm states.

3 *Counting Propagating Modes*

As we have seen above, the equation of motion (2.9) which describes the propagation of the second-rank tensor gauge field ($A^a_{\mu\lambda} \neq A^a_{\lambda\mu}$) has the following form[‡] [43, 44, 45]:

$$\partial^\mu F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu F^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \partial^\mu F^a_{\lambda\nu,\mu} + \partial_\lambda F^a_{\mu\nu,}{}^{\mu} + \eta_{\nu\lambda}\partial^\mu F^a_{\mu\rho,}{}^{\rho}) = J^a_{\nu\lambda}, \tag{3.16}$$

where $F^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda}$ and is invariant with respect to a pair of complementary gauge transformations δ (2.6) and $\tilde{\delta}$ (2.7)

$$A^a_{\mu\lambda} \ \rightarrow \ A^a_{\mu\lambda} \ + \ \partial_\mu \xi^a_\lambda + \partial_\lambda \eta^a_\mu \tag{3.17}$$

with arbitrary gauge parameters ξ^a_λ and η^a_μ. The equivalent form of the equations of motion (2.9) in terms of the gauge field is [43, 44, 45]

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^2(A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}A^a_{\lambda\nu}) - \partial_\nu\partial^\mu(A^a_{\mu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}A^a_{\lambda\mu}) - \partial_\lambda\partial^\mu(A^a_{\nu\mu} - \frac{1}{2}A^a_{\mu\nu}) + \partial_\nu\partial_\lambda(A^a_{\mu}{}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}A^a_{\mu}{}^{\mu}) + \\ + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\nu\lambda}(\partial^\mu\partial^\rho A^a_{\mu\rho} - \partial^2 A^a_{\mu}{}^{\mu}) = J^a_{\nu\lambda}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

In momentum space this type of second-order partial differential equations can always be represented as matrix equation of the following general form

$$H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k) \ A^a_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = J^a_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)$ is a matrix operator quadratic in momentum k_μ. In our case it has the following form [43, 44, 45]:

$$\begin{aligned}H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k) = (-\eta_{\alpha\gamma}\eta_{\acute{\alpha}\acute{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\alpha\acute{\gamma}}\eta_{\acute{\alpha}\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}\eta_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}})k^2 + \eta_{\alpha\gamma}k_{\acute{\alpha}}k_{\acute{\gamma}} + \eta_{\acute{\alpha}\acute{\gamma}}k_\alpha k_\gamma \\ -\frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\alpha\acute{\gamma}}k_{\acute{\alpha}}k_\gamma + \eta_{\acute{\alpha}\gamma}k_\alpha k_{\acute{\gamma}} + \eta_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}k_\gamma k_{\acute{\gamma}} + \eta_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}k_\alpha k_{\acute{\alpha}}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

[‡] The Lorentz indexes of the tensor fields are raised and lowered with flat metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = (-1, 1, 1, 1)$.

with the property that $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = H_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}\alpha\acute{\alpha}}$. First of all we shall solve the equation in the case when there are no interactions, $J^{a}_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}} = 0$:

$$H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)\ A^{a}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

The vector space of independent solutions $A_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}$ of this system of equations crucially depends on the rank of the matrix $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)$. If the matrix operator H has dimension $d \times d$ and its rank is $rankH = r$, then the vector space has the dimension

$$\mathcal{N} = d - r.$$

Because the matrix operator $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)$ explicitly depends on the momentum k_μ its $rankH = r$ also depends on momenta and therefore the number of independent solutions $\mathcal{N}$ depends on momenta

$$\mathcal{N}(k) = d - r(k)\ . \tag{3.22}$$

Analyzing the $rankH$ of the matrix operator H one can observe that it depends on the value of momentum square k^2_μ. When $k^2_\mu \neq 0$ - off mass-shell momenta - the vector space consists of *pure gauge fields.* When $k^2_\mu = 0$ - on mass-shell momenta - the vector space consists of *pure gauge fields and propagating modes.* Therefore the number of propagating modes can be calculated from the following relation:

$$\sharp\ of\ propagating\ modes = \mathcal{N}(k)|_{k^2=0} - \mathcal{N}(k)|_{k^2\neq 0} = rankH|_{k^2\neq 0} - rankH|_{k^2=0}. \tag{3.23}$$

Before considering the equation of motion for the tensor gauge field (3.21), let us consider for illustration some important examples.

3.1 *Vector Gauge Field*

The kinetic term of Lagrangian which describes the propagation of free vector gauge field is

$$\mathcal{K} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \tag{3.24}$$

and the corresponding equation of motion in momentum space is

$$H_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}e_{\gamma} = (-k^2\delta^{\gamma}_{\alpha} + k_\alpha k^\gamma)e_\gamma = 0, \tag{3.25}$$

where $A_\mu = e_\mu \exp{(ikx)}$. We can always choose the momentum vector in the third direction $k^\mu = (\omega, 0, 0, k)$ and the matrix operator H takes the form

$$H_\alpha{}^\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -k^2 & 0 & 0 & -k\omega \\ 0 & \omega^2 - k^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 - k^2 & 0 \\ k\omega & 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\omega^2 - k^2 \neq 0$, the rank of the 4-dimensional matrix $H_\alpha{}^\gamma$ is $rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2\neq 0} = 3$ and the number of independent solutions is 4-3=1. As one can see from the relation $H_\alpha{}^\gamma(k) k_\gamma = 0$ this solution is proportional to the momentum $e_\mu = k_\mu = (-\omega, 0, 0, k)$ and is a pure-gauge field. This is a consequence of the gauge invariance of the theory $e_\mu \to e_\mu + a k_\mu$. If $\omega^2 - k^2 = 0$, then the rank of the matrix drops, $rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2=0} = 1$, and the number of independent solutions increases: 4-1=3. These three solutions of equations (3.25) are

$$e^{(gauge)}_\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{(1)}_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{(2)}_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

from which the first one is a pure-gauge field ($\sim k_\gamma$), while the remaining two are the physical modes, perpendicular to the direction of the wave propagation. The general solution at $\omega^2 - k^2 = 0$ will be a linear combination of these three eigenvectors:

$$e_\gamma = a k_\gamma + c_1 e^{(1)}_\gamma + c_2 e^{(2)}_\gamma,$$

where a, c_1, c_2 are arbitrary constants. We see that the number of propagating modes is

$$rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2\neq 0} - rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2=0} = 3 - 1 = 2,$$

as it should be.

3.2 *Symmetric Tensor Gauge Field*

The free gravitational field is described in terms of a symmetric second-rank tensor field $h_{\mu\nu}$ and is governed by the Einstein and Pauli-Fierz equation:

$$\partial^2 h_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu \partial^\mu h_{\mu\lambda} - \partial_\lambda \partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda h_\mu{}^\mu + \eta_{\nu\lambda}(\partial^\mu \partial^\rho h_{\mu\rho} - \partial^2 h_\mu{}^\mu) = 0, \tag{3.26}$$

which is invariant with respect to the gauge transformations

$$\delta h_{\mu\lambda} = \partial_\mu \xi_\lambda + \partial_\lambda \xi_\mu , \tag{3.27}$$

which preserve the symmetry properties of $A_{\mu\nu}$. The corresponding matrix operator is:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k) = \{\eta_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}\eta_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\alpha\gamma}\eta_{\acute{\alpha}\acute{\gamma}} + \eta_{\alpha\acute{\gamma}}\eta_{\acute{\alpha}\gamma})\}k^2 - \eta_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}k_\gamma k_{\acute{\gamma}} - \eta_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}k_\alpha k_{\acute{\alpha}} + \\ + \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\alpha\acute{\gamma}}k_\gamma k_{\acute{\alpha}} + \eta_{\acute{\alpha}\acute{\gamma}}k_\alpha k_\gamma + \eta_{\alpha\gamma}k_{\acute{\alpha}}k_{\acute{\gamma}} + \eta_{\acute{\alpha}\gamma}k_\alpha k_{\acute{\gamma}}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

and is a 10×10 matrix in four-dimensional space-time with the property $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = H_{\acute{\alpha}\alpha\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\acute{\gamma}\gamma} = H_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}\alpha\acute{\alpha}}$ and is presented in Appendix B.

If $\omega^2 - k^2 \neq 0$, the rank of the 10-dimensional matrix $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)$ is equal to $rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2\neq 0} = 6$ and the number of independent solutions is $10 - 6 = 4$. These four symmetric solutions are pure-gauge tensor fields. Indeed, if again we choose the coordinate system so that $k^\gamma = (\omega, 0, 0, k)$, then one can find the following four linearly independent solutions:

$$e_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega & 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega & 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -2\omega & 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ k & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.29}$$

pure-gauge field solutions of the form (3.27) $e_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = k_\gamma \xi_{\acute{\gamma}} + k_{\acute{\gamma}}\xi_\gamma$ as one can see from the relation

$$H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)(k_\gamma \xi_{\acute{\gamma}} + k_{\acute{\gamma}}\xi_\gamma) = 0. \tag{3.30}$$

When $\omega^2 - k^2 = 0$, then the rank of the matrix $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)$ drops and is equal to $rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2=0} = 4$. This leaves us with $10 - 4 = 6$ solutions. These are four pure-gauge solutions (3.27) and two additional symmetric solutions representing propagating modes: the helicity states of the graviton

$$e^{(1)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{(2)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.31}$$

Thus the general solution of the equation on mass-shell is:

$$e_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \xi_{\gamma} k_{\acute{\gamma}} + \xi_{\acute{\gamma}} k_{\gamma} + c_1 e^{(1)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} + c_2 e^{(2)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}},$$

where c_1, c_2 are arbitrary constants. We see that the number of propagating modes is

$$rank H|_{\omega^2-k^2 \neq 0} - rank H|_{\omega^2-k^2=0} = 6 - 4 = 2,$$

as it should be.

3.3 *Antisymmetric Tensor Gauge Field*

The antisymmetric second-rank tensor field $B_{\mu\nu}$ is governed by the equation [48, 49, 50, 51]:

$$\partial^2 B_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_{\nu}\partial^{\mu} B_{\mu\lambda} + \partial_{\lambda}\partial^{\mu} B_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{3.32}$$

which is invariant with respect to the gauge transformations

$$\delta B_{\mu\lambda} = \partial_{\mu}\eta_{\lambda} - \partial_{\lambda}\eta_{\mu}, \tag{3.33}$$

which preserve the symmetry properties of $B_{\mu\nu}$. The corresponding matrix operator is:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k) = & -\frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\alpha\gamma}\eta_{\acute{\alpha}\acute{\gamma}} - \eta_{\alpha\acute{\gamma}}\eta_{\acute{\alpha}\gamma})k^2 - \\ & -\frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\alpha\acute{\gamma}} k_{\gamma} k_{\acute{\alpha}} - \eta_{\acute{\alpha}\acute{\gamma}} k_{\alpha} k_{\gamma} + \eta_{\acute{\alpha}\gamma} k_{\alpha} k_{\acute{\gamma}} - \eta_{\alpha\gamma} k_{\acute{\alpha}} k_{\acute{\gamma}}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

and is 6×6 matrix in four-dimensional space-time with the property $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = -H_{\acute{\alpha}\alpha\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = -H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\acute{\gamma}\gamma} = H_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}\alpha\acute{\alpha}}$ and is presented in Appendix B.

If $\omega^2 - k^2 \neq 0$, the rank of the 6-dimensional matrix $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)$ is equal to $rank H|_{\omega^2-k^2\neq 0} = 3$ and the number of independent solutions is $6 - 3 = 3$. These three antisymmetric solutions are pure-gauge fields. Indeed, in the coordinate system $k^{\gamma} = (\omega, 0, 0, k)$ one can find the following three solutions:

$$e_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega & 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & -k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega & 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -k & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.35}$$

pure-gauge fields of the form (3.33) $e_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = k_\gamma \eta_{\acute{\gamma}} - k_{\acute{\gamma}} \eta_\gamma$, as one can see from the relation

$$H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)(k_\gamma \eta_{\acute{\gamma}} - k_{\acute{\gamma}} \eta_\gamma) = 0. \tag{3.36}$$

When $\omega^2 - k^2 = 0$, then the rank of the matrix $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)$ drops and is equal to $rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2=0} = 2$. This leaves us with $6 - 2 = 4$ solutions. These are three pure-gauge solutions (3.33) and additional antisymmetric solution representing the propagating mode: the helicity zero state

$$e^{(A)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.37}$$

Thus on mass-shell the general solution of the equation is:

$$e_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = k_\gamma \eta_{\acute{\gamma}} - k_{\acute{\gamma}} \eta_\gamma + c_3 \; e^{(A)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}},$$

where c_3 is arbitrary constant. We see that the number of propagating modes is

$$rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2 \neq 0} - rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2=0} = 3 - 2 = 1.$$

After this parenthetic discussion we shall turn to the tensor gauge theory.

3.4 *Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Field*

Now we are ready to consider the equation (3.21) for the tensor gauge field $A_{\mu\lambda}$ with the matrix operator (3.20), which in four-dimensional space-time is a 16×16 matrix. In the reference frame, where $k^\gamma = (\omega, 0, 0, k)$, it has the form presented in the Appendix B.

If $\omega^2 - k^2 \neq 0$, the rank of the 16-dimensional matrix $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)$ is equal to $rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2 \neq 0} = 9$ and the number of linearly independent solutions is $16 - 9 = 7$. These seven solutions are:

$$e_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ k & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega & 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega & 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.38}$$

pure-gauge tensor potentials of the form (3.17)

$$e_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = k_\gamma \xi_{\acute{\gamma}} + k_{\acute{\gamma}} \eta_\gamma, \tag{3.39}$$

as one can get convinced from the relation

$$H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)(k_\gamma \xi_{\acute{\gamma}} + k_{\acute{\gamma}} \eta_\gamma) = 0, \tag{3.40}$$

which follows from the gauge invariance of the action and can be checked also explicitly.

When $\omega^2 - k^2 = 0$, then the rank of the matrix $H_{\alpha\acute{\alpha}\gamma\acute{\gamma}}(k)$ drops and is equal to $rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2=0} = 6$. This leaves us with $16 - 6 = 10$ solutions. These are 7 solutions, the pure-gauge potentials (3.38), (3.39) and new three solutions representing propagating modes:

$$e^{(1)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{(2)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{A}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.41}$$

Thus the general solution of the equation on mass-shell is:

$$e_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} = \xi_{\acute{\gamma}} k_\gamma + \eta_\gamma k_{\acute{\gamma}} + c_1 e^{(1)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} + c_2 e^{(2)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}} + c_3 e^{(A)}_{\gamma\acute{\gamma}}, \tag{3.42}$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 are arbitrary constants. We see that the number of propagating modes is three

$$rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2\neq 0} - rankH|_{\omega^2-k^2=0} = 9 - 6 = 3.$$

These are propagating modes of *helicity-two and helicity-zero* $\lambda = \pm 2, 0$ *charged gauge bosons* [43, 44, 45]. Indeed, if we make a rotation around the z-axis

$$\Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we shall get

$$e^{(1)'}=\Lambda e^{(1)}\Lambda^T=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&-\cos 2\theta&-\sin 2\theta&0\\0&-\sin 2\theta&\cos 2\theta&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\quad e^{(2)'}=\Lambda e^{(2)}\Lambda^T=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&-\sin 2\theta&\cos 2\theta&0\\0&\cos 2\theta&\sin 2\theta&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the first two solutions describe helicity $\lambda=\pm 2$ states. On the other hand, the third, antisymmetric solution remains invariant under a Lorentz transformation, therefore it describes helicity-zero state.

This result can also be derived from the consideration of the equations of motion for the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\lambda}$, as it was done in [43, 44, 45]. Indeed, one can observe that for the symmetric part of the tensor gauge fields $A^a_{\nu\lambda}$ the equation reduces to the previously studied free equation in gravity (3.26), which describes the propagation of massless tensor gauge bosons with two physical polarizations: the $\lambda=\pm 2$ helicity states. For the antisymmetric part of the tensor gauge fields $A^a_{\nu\lambda}$ the equation reduces to the equation (3.33) which describes the propagation of massless boson with one physical polarization: the $\lambda=0$ helicity state.

4 *Interaction of Currents*

The interaction amplitude between two tensor currents caused by the exchange of these tensor gauge bosons can be found from (3.16), (3.18) and (3.19) and has the following form [45]

$$J^{'}_{\mu\lambda}\ \Delta^{\mu\lambda\nu\rho}\ J_{\nu\rho} \tag{4.43}$$

where the propagator $\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\lambda\nu\rho}$ is

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\lambda\nu\rho}=\delta^{ab}\frac{\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\lambda\rho}-\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\lambda}\eta_{\nu\rho}}{\omega^2-k^2}, \tag{4.44}$$

therefore

$$J^{'}_{\mu\lambda}\ \Delta^{\mu\lambda\nu\rho}\ J_{\nu\rho}=J^{'}_{\mu\lambda}\ \frac{1}{\omega^2-k^2}\ J^{\mu\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}J^{'\ \mu}_{\mu}\ \frac{1}{\omega^2-k^2}\ J_{\lambda}^{\ \lambda}. \tag{4.45}$$

We shall evaluate the first term in the interaction amplitude. This gives

$$J^{'}_{\mu\lambda}\ \frac{1}{\omega^2-k^2}\ J^{\mu\lambda}=\frac{1}{\omega^2-k^2}\{J^{'}_{00}J_{00}-J^{'}_{01}J_{01}-J^{'}_{02}J_{02}-J^{'}_{03}J_{03}-J^{'}_{10}J_{10}-J^{'}_{20}J_{20}-J^{'}_{30}J_{30}$$
$$+J^{'}_{11}J_{11}+J^{'}_{22}J_{22}+J^{'}_{33}J_{33}+J^{'}_{12}J_{12}+J^{'}_{21}J_{21}+J^{'}_{13}J_{13}+J^{'}_{31}J_{31}+J^{'}_{23}J_{23}+J^{'}_{32}J_{32}\}.$$

Taking $k^\mu = (\omega, 0, 0, k)$ and using the conservation of the current (2.10) expressed in the momentum space

$$k^\mu J_{\mu\lambda} = 0, \qquad \omega J_{0\lambda} = -k \; J_{3\lambda}$$

we shall get

$$\frac{1}{\omega^2 - k^2}[(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{00}J_{00} - (1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{01}J_{01} - (1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{02}J_{02} - (1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{03}J_{03}$$
$$-J^{'}_{10}J_{10} - J^{'}_{20}J_{20} + J^{'}_{11}J_{11} + J^{'}_{22}J_{22} + J^{'}_{12}J_{12} + J^{'}_{21}J_{21} + J^{'}_{13}J_{13} + J^{'}_{23}J_{23}].$$

Now using the second conservation law (2.11) in momentum space

$$k^\lambda J_{\mu\lambda} = 0, \qquad \omega J_{\mu 0} = -k \; J_{\mu 3}$$

we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\omega^2 - k^2}[(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{00}J_{00} - (1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{01}J_{01} - (1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{02}J_{02} -$$
$$-(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{10}J_{10} - (1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{20}J_{20} - (1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})(\frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{00}J_{00}] +$$
$$+\frac{1}{\omega^2 - k^2}[J^{'}_{11}J_{11} + J^{'}_{22}J_{22} + J^{'}_{12}J_{12} + J^{'}_{21}J_{21}]$$

and after simple algebra at

$$-\frac{1}{k^2}[(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{00}J_{00} - J^{'}_{01}J_{01} - J^{'}_{02}J_{02} - J^{'}_{10}J_{10} - J^{'}_{20}J_{20}] +$$
$$+\frac{1}{\omega^2 - k^2}[J^{'}_{11}J_{11} + J^{'}_{22}J_{22} + J^{'}_{12}J_{12} + J^{'}_{21}J_{21}].$$

Evaluating the second term in the interaction amplitude (4.44) in the same manner as above, we shall finally get for the total amplitude:

$$-\frac{1}{k^2}[(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{00}J_{00} - J^{'}_{01}J_{01} - J^{'}_{02}J_{02} - J^{'}_{10}J_{10} - J^{'}_{20}J_{20}] +$$
$$+\frac{1}{\omega^2 - k^2}[\frac{1}{2}(J^{'}_{11} - J^{'}_{22})(J_{11} - J_{22}) + J^{'}_{12}J_{12} + J^{'}_{21}J_{21}]. \tag{4.46}$$

For the instantaneous term we get

$$-\frac{1}{k^2}[(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2})J^{'}_{00}J_{00} - J^{'}_{01}J_{01} - J^{'}_{02}J_{02} - J^{'}_{10}J_{10} - J^{'}_{20}J_{20}] \tag{4.47}$$

and for the retarded term ($J_{12} \neq J_{21}$)

$$\frac{1}{\omega^2 - k^2}[\frac{1}{2}(J^{'}_{11} - J^{'}_{22})(J_{11} - J_{22}) + J^{'}_{12}J_{12} + J^{'}_{21}J_{21}]. \tag{4.48}$$

The retarded term represents a sum of three independent products

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{4}[J^{'}_{11}-J^{'}_{22}+i(J^{'}_{12}+J^{'}_{21})][J_{11}-J_{22}-i(J_{12}+J_{21})]+\\
&+\frac{1}{4}[J^{'}_{11}-J^{'}_{22}-i(J^{'}_{12}+J^{'}_{21})][J_{11}-J_{22}+i(J_{12}+J_{21})]+\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(J^{'}_{12}-J^{'}_{21})(J^{'}_{12}-J^{'}_{21})
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.49}
$$

or polarizations corresponding to the helicities $\lambda = \pm 2, 0$. Thus all negative-norm states are excluded from the spectrum of the second-rank tensor gauge field $A_{\mu\lambda}$, due to the gauge invariance of the theory and we come to the conclusion that the theory does indeed respect unitarity at the free level.

5 *Appendix A. Bianchi identity*

The non-Abelian tensor fields $A^a_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ can be seen as appearing in the expansion of the extended gauge field $\mathcal{A}_\mu(x,e)$ over the unit tangent vector e_λ [43, 44, 45]:

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu(x,e)=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{s!}\;A^a_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x)\;L^a e_{\lambda_1}...e_{\lambda_s}.$$

and the extended field strength tensor can be defined in terms of the extended gauge field $\mathcal{A}_\mu(x,e)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}(x,e)=\partial_\mu\mathcal{A}_\nu(x,e)-\partial_\nu\mathcal{A}_\mu(x,e)-ig[\mathcal{A}_\mu(x,e),\mathcal{A}_\nu(x,e)].$$

Defining the extended covariant derivative: $\mathcal{D}_\mu=\partial_\mu-ig\mathcal{A}_\mu$, one can get [45]:

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu,\mathcal{D}_\nu]=[\partial_\mu-ig\mathcal{A}_\mu,\partial_\nu-ig\mathcal{A}_\nu]=-ig\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{5.50}$$

The operators $\mathcal{D}_\mu,\mathcal{D}_\nu,\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ obey Jacobi identity:

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu,[\mathcal{D}_\nu,\mathcal{D}_\lambda]]+[\mathcal{D}_\nu,[\mathcal{D}_\lambda,\mathcal{D}_\mu]]+[\mathcal{D}_\lambda,[\mathcal{D}_\mu,\mathcal{D}_\nu]]=0,$$

which with the aid of (5.50) is transformed into the generalized Bianchi identity

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu,\mathcal{G}_{\nu\lambda}]+[\mathcal{D}_\nu,\mathcal{G}_{\lambda\mu}]+[\mathcal{D}_\lambda,\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}]=0. \tag{5.51}$$

Let us now expand equation (5.51) over e_ρ up to linear terms. We have,

$$[\partial_\mu-igA_\mu-igA_{\mu\rho}e^\rho,\;G_{\nu\lambda}+G_{\nu\lambda,\rho}e^\rho]+cyc.perm.+O(e^2)=0$$

In zero order the above equation gives the standard Bianchi identity in YM theory:

$$[D_\mu, G_{\nu\lambda}] + [D_\nu, G_{\lambda\mu}] + [D_\lambda, G_{\mu\nu}] = 0,$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu$. The linear term in e_ρ gives:

$$[D_\mu, G_{\nu\lambda,\rho}] - ig[A_{\mu\rho}, G_{\nu\lambda}] + [D_\nu, G_{\lambda\mu,\rho}] - ig[A_{\nu\rho}, G_{\lambda\mu}] + [D_\lambda, G_{\mu\nu,\rho}] - ig[A_{\lambda\rho}, G_{\mu\nu}] = 0 \tag{5.52}$$

Using explicit form of the operators D_μ, $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$ one can independently check the last identity and get convinced that it holds. Now, if we expand the above equation over g, the zeroth order gives the Bianchi identity for the field strength tensor $F_{\nu\lambda,\rho}$:

$$\partial_\mu F_{\nu\lambda,\rho} + \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\mu,\rho} + \partial_\lambda F_{\mu\nu,\rho} = 0 \tag{5.53}$$

These equations impose tight restrictions on the source currents and hence on the nature of interactions.

6 *Appendix B*

The matrix operator in gravity (3.28) is of dimension 10 × 10 and has the following form

$$\begin{matrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k^2 & 0 & 0 & -k^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{kw}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{kw}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & kw & 0 & 0 & kw & 0 & 0 \\
-k^2 & 0 & 0 & -kw & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^2 - w^2 & 0 & -w^2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(-k^2 + w^2) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{kw}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{w^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-k^2 & 0 & 0 & -kw & k^2 - w^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -w^2 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{kw}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{w^2}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -w^2 & 0 & 0 & -w^2 & 0 & 0
\end{matrix} \tag{6.54}$$

and for antisymmetric tensor field (3.34) it is of dimension 6 × 6

$$\begin{matrix}
-\frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{kw}{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{kw}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(-k^2+w^2) & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{kw}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{w^2}{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{kw}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{w^2}{2}.
\end{matrix} \tag{6.55}$$

The matrix operator for non-Abelian tensor gauge theory (3.20) is of dimension 16 × 16 and has the following explicit form:

$$\begin{matrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -k^2 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k\omega & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -k^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & -k\omega & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & -k^2 & 0 & 0 & -k\omega & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(k^2-\omega^2) & 0 & -\frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega^2}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k^2+\omega^2 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(k^2-\omega^2) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & k\omega & 0 & 0 & \omega^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega^2}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k^2 & 0 & 0 & -k\omega & 0 & 0 & \frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(k^2-\omega^2) & 0 & 0 & -k^2+\omega^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{k^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(k^2-\omega^2) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega^2}{2} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k\omega & 0 & 0 & \omega^2 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega^2}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & k\omega & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & k\omega & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k\omega}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{matrix} \tag{6.56}$$

and allows to calculate its rank as a function of momenta.

References

[1] E. Witten, *Noncommutative Geometry And String Field Theory,* Nucl. Phys. B **268** (1986) 253.

[2] C. B. Thorn, *Comments On Covariant Formulations Of String Theories,* Phys. Lett. **159B** (1985) 107; *String Field Theory,* Phys. Rep. **174C** (1989) 1

[3] W. Siegel and B. Zwiebach, *Gauge String Fields,* Nucl. Phys. B **263** (1986) 105.

[4] I. Y. Arefeva, P. B. Medvedev and A. P. Zubarev, *New Representation For String Field Solves The Consistence Problem For Open Superstring Field,* Nucl. Phys. B **341** (1990) 464.

[5] W. Siegel, *Introduction to string field theory,* arXiv:hep-th/0107094.

[6] W. Taylor and B. Zwiebach, *D-branes, tachyons, and string field theory,* arXiv:hep-th/0311017 (see formulas (201) and (202) of section 6.5).

[7] W. Taylor, *String field theory,* arXiv:hep-th/0605202.

[8] D. J. Gross and P. F. Mende, *The High-Energy Behavior Of String Scattering Amplitudes*, Phys. Lett. B **197** (1987) 129.

[9] D. J. Gross and P. F. Mende, *String Theory Beyond The Planck Scale*, Nucl. Phys. B **303** (1988) 407.

[10] D. J. Gross, *High-Energy Symmetries Of String Theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 1229.

[11] E. Witten, *The Search For Higher Symmetry In String Theory*, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A320 (1989) 349-357;
E. Witten, *Space-Time And Topological Orbifolds*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988) 670

[12] P. F. Mende, *String theory at short distance and the principle of equivalence*, arXiv:hep-th/9210001.

[13] P. F. Mende and H. Ooguri, *Borel Summation Of String Theory For Planck Scale Scattering*, Nucl. Phys. B **339** (1990) 641.

[14] P. F. Mende, *High-energy string collisions in a compact space*, Phys. Lett. B **326** (1994) 216

[15] G. W. Moore, *Symmetries of the bosonic string S matrix*, arXiv:hep-th/9310026.

[16] S. B. Giddings, D. J. Gross and A. Maharana, *Gravitational effects in ultrahigh-energy string scattering,* arXiv:hep-th/0705.1816

[17] G. K. Savvidy, "Tensionless strings: Physical Fock space and higher spin fields," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, (2004) 3171-3194.

[18] G. Savvidy, *Tensionless strings, correspondence with SO(D,D) sigma model*, Phys. Lett. B **615** (2005) 285.

[19] M. Fierz. *Über die relativistische Theorie kräftefreier Teilchen mit beliebigem Spin*, Helv. Phys. Acta. **12** (1939) 3.

[20] M. Fierz and W. Pauli. *On Relativistic Wave Equations for Particles of Arbitrary Spin in an Electromagnetic Field*, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A173** (1939) 211.

[21] H.Yukawa, *Quantum Theory of Non-Local Fields.* Phys. Rev. **77** (1950) 219 ;
M. Fierz, *Non-Local Fields*, Phys. Rev. **78** (1950) 184;

[22] E. Wigner, *Invariant Quantum Mechanical Equations of Motion*, in *Theoretical Physics ed. A.Salam* (International Atomic Energy, Vienna, 1963) p 59;
E. Wigner, *Relativistische Wellengleichungen*, Zeitschrift für Physik **124** (1948) 665

[23] J.Schwinger, *Particles, Sourses, and Fields* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970)

[24] S. Weinberg, *Feynman Rules For Any Spin*, Phys. Rev. **133** (1964) B1318.

[25] S. J. Chang, *Lagrange Formulation for Systems with Higher Spin*, Phys.Rev. **161** (1967) 1308

[26] L. P. S. Singh and C. R. Hagen, *Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. I. The boson case*, Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 898

[27] C.Fronsdal, *Massless fields with integer spin*, Phys.Rev. **D18** (1978) 3624

[28] B. de Wit and D. Z. Freedman, *Systematics Of Higher Spin Gauge Fields,* Phys. Rev. D **21** (1980) 358.

[29] T. Curtright, *Generalized Gauge Fields,* Phys. Lett. B **165** (1985) 304.

[30] A. K. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, *Cubic Interaction Terms For Arbitrary Spin,* Nucl. Phys. B **227** (1983) 31.

[31] A. K. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, *Cubic Interaction Terms For Arbitrarily Extended Supermultiplets,* Nucl. Phys. B **227** (1983) 41.

[32] A. K. H. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and N. Linden, *Interacting Higher Spin Gauge Fields on the Light Front,* Class. Quant. Grav. **4** (1987) 1333.

[33] F. A. Berends, G. J. H Burgers and H. Van Dam, *On the Theoretical problems in Constructing Interactions Involving Higher-Spin Massless Particles,* Nucl. Phys. B **260** (1985) 295; Nucl. Phys. B **271** (1986) 429

[34] F. A. Berends, G. J. H. Burgers and H. Van Dam, *On Spin Three Selfinteractions,* Z. Phys. C **24** (1984) 247.

[35] J.Fang and C.Fronsdal. *Deformation of gauge groups. Gravitation.* J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979) 2264

[36] R. R. Metsaev, *Cubic interaction vertices of massive and massless higher spin fields,* Nucl. Phys. B **759** (2006) 147

[37] N. Boulanger and S. Leclercq, *Consistent couplings between spin-2 and spin-3 massless fields,* JHEP **0611** (2006) 034

[38] D. Francia, J. Mourad and A. Sagnotti, *Current exchanges and unconstrained higher spins,* arXiv:hep-th/0701163.

[39] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla and M. A. Vasiliev, *Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions,* arXiv:hep-th/0503128.

[40] J. Engquist, E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *On N = 1,2,4 higher spin gauge theories in four dimensions,* Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 6175

[41] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *Holography in 4D (Super) Higher Spin Theories and a Test via Cubic Scalar Couplings,* hep-th/0305040

[42] C.N.Yang and R.L.Mills. *Conservation of Isotopic Spin and Isotopic Gauge Invariance.* Phys. Rev. **96** (1954) 191

[43] G. Savvidy, *Non-Abelian tensor gauge fields: Generalization of Yang-Mills theory,* Phys. Lett. B **625** (2005) 341

[44] G. Savvidy, *Non-abelian tensor gauge fields. I,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4931;

[45] G. Savvidy, *Non-abelian tensor gauge fields. II,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4959;

[46] G. Savvidy and T. Tsukioka, *Gauge invariant Lagrangian for non-Abelian tensor gauge fields of fourth rank,* Prog. Theor. Phys. **117** (2007) 4;

[47] J. K. Barrett and G. Savvidy, *A dual lagrangian for non-Abelian tensor gauge fields,* arXiv:hep-th/0704.3164

[48] M. Kalb and P. Ramond, *Classical direct interstring action,* Phys. Rev. D **9** (1974) 2273.

[49] E. Cremmer and J. Scherk, *Spontaneous dynamical breaking of gauge symmetry in dual models,* Nucl. Phys. B **72** (1974) 117.

[50] P. K. Townsend and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Brs Gauge And Ghost Field Supersymmetry In Gravity And Supergravity,* Nucl. Phys. B **120** (1977) 301.

[51] Y. Nambu, *Magnetic And Electric Confinement Of Quarks,* Phys. Rept. **23** (1976) 250.

[52] A. Einstein, *Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation,* Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. **48** (1915) 844;

[53] A. Einstein, *The Bianchi Identities in the Generalized Theory of Gravitation,* Canad. J. Math. **2** (1950) 120;